

## PK-Manifestation Experiment 02

### Analysis Report

Experiment Outline  
Equipment Used and Settings  
Narrative  
Analysis  
Conclusions  
Lessons Learned

## PK Manifestation Experiment Two: Mass Projection of a Single Object

### Experiment Outline

#### **Hypothesis:**

1. Visual and audio recordings normally attributed to ghosts, unseen entities, spirits, and poltergeist activity, may at times be caused by PK manifestations from human occupants and investigators.
2. Similar thoughts by two or more people can influence the perceptions of others open to suggestion.

**Experiment:** Overall experimental plan – Building on the findings of PK Manifestation Experiment One where the objects projected were detected by the remote viewers (see experiment one analysis report: [http://pri-wa.com/Research/PK-Manifestation01\\_rpt.pdf](http://pri-wa.com/Research/PK-Manifestation01_rpt.pdf)), a singular and group effort will be used to project a common object.

#### Experiment Elements:

Human elements required: Multiple human projectors will engage in a focused thought projection of designated object in the target area for 10 minutes. To ensure the identity security of the object, the designated object will not be presented to the projectors until the experiment date. At that time the projectors will have the opportunity to study the object long enough to create a mental picture of the size, weight, texture, and function(s) of the object.

2 independent remote viewers will be used to see if they can accurately determine the object created. The remote viewers for this experiment are William Becker of Paranormal Insights and Katie Montana Jordan of Oregon Paranormal and Paranormal Research and Investigations.

William will be in close proximity and serve two functions: One, to remote into the adjoining space to determine the object being projected. Two, should any unknown entities stumble into the experiment they should be asked not to interfere (essentially, act as a paranormal bouncer).

Katie will be in her home in Salem, Oregon and will attempt to remote in or take a remote reading on the object being thought-projected.

Equipment elements required:

1. A three sided grid graduated in inches (half of a cube with a bottom 24X24, left 24X24, right 24X24 inches).
2. An IR capable camera focused on the center of the cube from the right.
3. A full-spectrum camera focused on the center of the cube from the left.
4. Digital audio recorder for William Becker.
5. Radiation monitoring equipment capable of detecting beta-gamma radiation.
6. Static electricity illuminator.

The experiment location and date: Lewis County Historical Museum, Chehalis, WA., on August 9<sup>th</sup>, 2014.

**Safeguards and Considerations**

1. Observer(s) and participants need to be silent for the duration of the experiment.
2. Remote viewers cannot attempt communication with the live participants or interact with the object. They will simply record what they witnessed during the episode.
3. The experiment space will have an EMF sweep prior to commencement to establish a baseline.
4. Upon conclusion of the thought projection segment, both remote viewers will deliver their readings immediately. This ensures that the findings would not be compromised by embellishment or memory lapse, inputs from the remote viewers would not be accepted after the experiment concluded.

**Possible Measures of Success**

1. Obtaining a report from a remote viewer correctly identifying the object or an identifiable aspect of the projected object.
2. Receiving an image of the object on any camera.
3. Human projectors being able to see the object created within the target space.
4. Equipment noting a change in EM or radiation.

**Equipment Settings**


<b>Equipment</b>	<b>Setting(s)</b>
2ft X 2ft Target Cube	No settings required
IR Camera	Aimed at the center point of the right side of the target cube.
GoPro Full Spectrum	Aimed at the center point of the left side of the target cube.
Static Electricity Detectors	One placed off the target cube.
Beta-Gamma Radiation Detector	Just off of the target cube.
Mel Meter	On.
GoPro HD	Unmodified camera observed the entire experiment location.
Stopwatch	Within view of one camera.

## Equipment/Experiment/Researcher Locations

The experiment took place at the Lewis County Historical Museum at 599 NW Front Way Chehalis, WA.



The experiment target area was set up within the conference room of the museum.



Paranormal Research and Investigations (PRI-WA.COM)

Remote viewer locations: William was in an isolated room approximately 100 feet from the conference room (experiment target area). Katie was in her home in Salem, Oregon (approximately 135 miles from the target area).



**Narrative:** The experiment occurred on August 9th, 2014 at the Lewis County Historical Museum in Chehalis, Washington. The human thought projectors for the event were briefed on the experiment protocols and goals. The thought projection team consisted of the following:

- Karen Frazier, Author, South Sound Paranormal Research.
- Casey Goodwin, Oregon Paranormal, Paranormal Research and Investigations.
- Kasci Lawrence, Psychic Class Attendant
- Lori Nelsen-Allen, South Sound Paranormal Research.
- Jenny Patrick, South Sound Paranormal Research.
- Steven Skinner, Executive Director of the Museum, South Sound Paranormal Research.
- Holly Swogger, Artist, Psychic Class Attendant
- Patty Valdez, South Sound Paranormal Research.
- Jay Verberg, Ghost Mine, Paranormal Research and Investigations.
- Michael White, Author, Paranormal Research and Investigations, Experiment Leader.

The psychic/remote viewers for this experiment were:

- William Becker, Psychic Medium, Paranormal Insights
- Katie Montana Jordan, Oregon Paranormal, Paranormal Research and Investigations

At the conclusion of William's Psychic Investigations classes and just prior to commencement of the experiment, the psychic/remote viewers went to their respective locations and were told to standby. The thought projection team was briefed on the experiment, turned off or placed their cell phones in airplane mode, and then were presented with the object that they were required to mentally project into the target cube. The object was a Bob's Big Boy coin bank and each member of the projection team had the opportunity to handle and memorize as much about the object as possible (shape, colors,

position, weight, size, etc.). Before that point, the only person that knew of the object to be projected was the experiment leader, who did not divulge that information to anyone.

The experiment commenced at 10:06PM. William was in the records room of the museum and Katie was in her home in Salem, Oregon. The thought projection team concentrated on manifesting that Bob's Big Boy coin bank within the target cube.

At the end of the ten minute projection both psychic/remote viewers turned in their reports to the experiment leader. William handed in his digital recorder with his observations and Katie sent a text of her observations to the experiment leader.

**Analysis:** During the ten minute projection only two distractions were encountered. One rather loud train passed by the building for a duration of about forty seconds, and, a flying insect made a couple of passes in and out of the target cube. While the thought projection was taking place no beta, gamma, or electromagnetic frequency radiation anomalies occurred and there were no observable static electricity hits. Thermal readings remained a constant  $83^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 0.2^{\circ}\text{F}$ . Review of both IR and Full-Spectrum footage did not reveal any indication of object manifestation.

It is important to note that the projectors for this event noted that it was difficult to concentrate on one object for ten minutes. It is also important to note that the experiment leader had a difficult time in focusing on manifesting the object while keeping track of the conduct of the experiment (time, protocols, etc.).

Though the Bob's Big Boy bank was not directly identified by either psychic/remote viewer and the object did not materialize within the confines of the target area, there were some interesting descriptions made by both psychic/remote viewers that would indicate an aspect association with the object.

William's report initially included a pocket watch and he wasn't sure if that was the object being projected or something that he had seen upstairs in the museum. Then he mentioned that it was something that reminded him of an orange. Oddly enough, the burger section of the bank that was being projected is disk shaped and orange in color. He also noted that the watch did not appear to have a chain. Later he said that he perceived a Rubik's cube which may be associated with the red and white checkered overalls of the bank. During his recorded account he also made mention of a clock face and indeed the Bob's Big Boy bank does have a face, but it would be a bit of a stretch to say that was a noteworthy correlation.

Katie's report text was considerably shorter in length but very detailed and interesting. Her text read: "A vase or urn or jar of some sort. With two arc shaped handles. Dull. Was once under water. Fat, thin at the bottom with handles at either side." This report was rather intriguing as Katie had no idea of the object's history. The bank is indeed hollow, like a jar or urn. The arms could be mistaken as handles on either side of the figure. The finish is dull due to its age. This bank accompanied the experiment leader on one of the submarines that he was stationed on. Additionally, the bank normally resides on a shelf adjacent to a commemorative scroll for underwater arctic operations.

Bob's Big Boy coin bank in its normal location in the home of the experiment leader.



**Conclusions:** Though there were hopes that the equipment would indicate the materialization of the mentally projected object, it did not occur during this event. However, the ability to project and perceive generalized shapes, colors, and ideas was indeed inferred by psychic/remote viewer reports. Whether the colors, shapes, or object history was determined by remote viewing or a form of telepathy between two or more individuals is harder to determine based on the results. But transferring information on one or more aspects of a particular object over a distance, outside of sight or hearing range, is no less than remarkable.

Possible implications for paranormal teams conducting investigations based on these results:

- Knowledge of particular events, people, and other mental imagery can impact the perceptions of team members with psychic abilities (whether or not those abilities are known to the individuals).
- Creation of a PK manifestation requires much concentrated effort (or possibly sustained emotional energy which is only hypothesized and not supported during this experiment).
- Psychic perception varies from person to person. One may pick up on the history of a person or object, where another may accurately describe structure, color, or other physical attributes. An overall skill set might require more than one person with psychic abilities.

**Lessons Learned (and ideas for future experiments in the series):**

- Focused thought projection for a length of time requires mental discipline that must be acquired through practice. Future participants must be screened for such abilities.
- The experiment leader cannot effectively manage the experiment and participate.
- Less complicated objects should be selected to ensure specific identification.